

# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) HCP    ( ) IE    ( ) IC  Requestor's Name and Address Baylor All Saints Medical Center  C/o Advanced Practice 17101 Preston Rd. #180-S Dallas, TX 75248	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (x) Yes    ( ) No  MDR Tracking No.: M4-03-8496-01  TWCC No.:  Injured Employee's Name:  Date of Injury:  Employer's Name: Tarrant County Hospital District  Insurance Carrier's No.: WC0050500296
Respondent's Name and Address Tarrant County Hospital District/Rep. Box #: 03  Barron Risk Management 5815 Callaghan Rd. , Suite 100 San Antonio, TX 78228	

## PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	To			
7-10-02	7-15-02	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$42,285.94	\$00.00

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

A position summary was not submitted. The Requestor's rational listed on the Table of Disputed Services states "Stoploss Payment at 75% billed charges."

## PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary of July 25, 2003 states, "... A review of the hospital bill in question did not reveal any obvious complications, or indications that unusual or extensive services were provided. CMI Barron does understand that additional payment may very well be reasonable in certain circumstances, where the care and complications involved are extensive. We simply did not note evidence of that in this case..."

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The UB-92 list the "Prin Diag" code as "722.52"; degeneration of lumbar. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 5 days (consisting of 5 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$5,590.00 (5 times \$1,118). The Respondent paid \$5,590.00 for Rev Code 110 (Room and Board Private) and paid \$28,033.50 for Rev Code 278 (Implantables). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows: The requestor did not submit any medical documentation and implant invoices; therefore, MDR cannot determine the cost plus 10%.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

**PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION**

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is **not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Findings and Decision by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Signature

Roy Lewis  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed Name

6-16-05  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Decision

**PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING**

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on \_\_\_\_\_. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**

**PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION**

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_